

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 CLIFFORD LEE BAIR,

No. CIV-S-04-2257 MCE/GGH P

12 Petitioner,

13 v.

ORDER

14 FOLSOM STATE PRISON, ET AL.,

15 Respondents.
16 _____/

17 Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a
18 petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
19 § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate
20 Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General
21 Order No. 262.

22 On September 13, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings
23 and recommendations herein which were served on all parties and
24 which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the
25 findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days.

26 ///

1 Both parties have filed objections to the findings and
2 recommendations.

3 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C.
4 § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-304, this court has conducted a
5 de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the
6 entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to
7 be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

8 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

9 1. The findings and recommendations filed September 13,
10 2005, are adopted in full; and

11 2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is
12 denied.

13 DATED: November 16, 2005

14
15
16 

17 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.
18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26